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#### Abstract

Using the theory of almost conserved energies and the "I-method" developed by Colliander, Keel, Staffilani, Takaoka and Tao, we prove that the initial value problem for a higher order Schrödinger equation is globally wellposed in Sobolev spaces of order $s>1 / 4$. This result is sharp.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper we will describe a sharp result of global well-posedness for solutions of the initial value problem (IVP)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} u+i a \partial_{x}^{2} u+b \partial_{x}^{3} u+i c|u|^{2} u+d|u|^{2} \partial_{x} u+e u^{2} \partial_{x} \bar{u}=0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x, 0)=\varphi(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $u$ is a complex valued function and $a, b, c, d$ and $e$ are real parameters with $b e \neq 0$.

This model was proposed by Hasegawa and Kodama in [17, 21] to describe the nonlinear propagation of pulses in optical fibers. In literature, this model is called as a higher order nonlinear Schrödinger equation or also Airy-Schrödinger equation.

We consider the following gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\exp \left(i \lambda x+i\left(a \lambda^{2}-2 b \lambda^{3}\right) t\right) u\left(x+\left(2 a \lambda-3 b \lambda^{2}\right) t, t\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, $u$ solves (1.1) if and only if $v$ satisfies the IVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} v+i(a-3 \lambda b) \partial_{x}^{2} v+b \partial_{x}^{3} v+i(c-\lambda(d-e))|v|^{2} v+d|v|^{2} \partial_{x} v+e v^{2} \partial_{x} \bar{v}=0  \tag{1.3}\\
v(x, 0)=\exp (i \lambda x) u(x, 0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, if we take $\lambda=a / 3 b$ in (1.2) and $c=(d-e) a / 3 b$, then the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x, t)=\exp \left(i \frac{a}{3 b} x+i \frac{a^{3}}{27 b^{2}} t\right) u\left(x+\frac{a^{2}}{3 b} t, t\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the complex modified Korteweg-de Vries type equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} v+b \partial_{x}^{3} v+d|v|^{2} \partial_{x} v+e v^{2} \partial_{x} \bar{v}=0  \tag{1.5}\\
v(x, 0)=\exp (\text { ia } x / 3 b) u(x, 0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

[^0]Was shown in [22] that the flow associated to the IVP (1.1) leaves the following quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|u|^{2}(x, t) d x \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

conserved in time. Also, when $b e \neq 0$ we have the following conserved quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}(u)=c_{1} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_{x} u\right|^{2}(x, t) d x+c_{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|u|^{4}(x, t) d x+c_{3} \operatorname{Im} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x, t) \partial_{x} \overline{u(x, t)} d x \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}=3 b e, c_{2}=-e(e+d) / 2$ and $c_{3}=(3 b c-a(e+d))$. We may suppose $c_{3}=0$. In fact, when $c_{3} \neq 0$ we can take in the gauge transformation (1.2)

$$
\lambda=-\frac{c_{3}}{6 b e} .
$$

Then, $u$ solves (1.1) if and only if $v$ satisfies (1.3) and in this new IVP we have the constant $c_{3}=0$.

We say that the IVP (1.1) is locally well-posed in $X$ (Banach space) if the solution uniquely exists in certain time interval $[-T, T]$ (unique existence), the solution describes a continuous curve in $X$ in the interval $[-T, T]$ whenever initial data belongs to $X$ (persistence), and the solution varies continuously depending upon the initial data (continuous dependence) i.e. continuity of application $u_{0} \mapsto$ $u(t)$ from $X$ to $\mathcal{C}([-T, T] ; X)$. We say that the IVP (1.1) is globally well-posed in $X$ if the same properties hold for all time $T>0$. If some hypotheses in the definition of local well-posed fail, we say that the IVP is ill-posed.

Particular cases of (1.1) are the following:

- Cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), $(a=\mp 1, b=0, c=-1, d=$ $e=0$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
i u_{t} \pm u_{x x}+|u|^{2} u=0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best known local result for the IVP associated to 1.8 is in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \geq 0$, obtained by Tsutsumi [31]. Since the $L^{2}$ norm is preserved in (1.8), one has that (1.8) is globally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \geq 0$.

- Nonlinear Schrödinger equation with derivative $(a=-1, b=0, c=0, d=2 e)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
i u_{t}+u_{x x}+i \lambda\left(|u|^{2} u\right)_{x}=0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The best known local result for the IVP associated to (1.9) is in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \geq 1 / 2$, obtained by Takaoka [30. Colliander et al. [10] they proved that (1.9) is globally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>1 / 2$.

- Complex modified Korteweg-de Vries (mKdV) equation $(a=0, b=1, c=0$, $d=1, e=0$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}+u_{x x x}+|u|^{2} u_{x}=0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u$ is real, (1.10) is the usual mKdV equation. Kenig et al. 19] proved that the IVP associated to it is locally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \geq 1 / 4$ and Colliander et al. [11, proved that (1.10) is globally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>1 / 4$.

- When $a \neq 0$ is real and $b=0$, we obtain a particular case of the well-known mixed nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=i a u_{x x}+\lambda\left(|u|^{2}\right)_{x} u+g(u), \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ satisfies some appropriate conditions and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. Ozawa and Tsutsumi in [24] proved that for any $\rho>0$, there is a positive constant $T(\rho)$ depending only on $\rho$ and $g$, such that the IVP (1.11) is locally well-posed in $H^{1 / 2}(\mathbb{R})$, whenever the initial data satisfies

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\mathrm{H}^{1 / 2}} \leq \rho
$$

There are other dispersive models similar to (1.1], see for instance [1, 8, 25, 26, 28) and the references therein.

Regarding the IVP (1.1), Laurey in [22] showed that the IVP is locally wellposed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s>3 / 4$, and using the quantities (1.6) and (1.7) she proved the global well-posedness in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \geq 1$. In [27] Staffilani established the local well-posedness in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \geq 1 / 4$, for the IVP associated to (1.1), improving Laurey's result.

In the IVP (1.1), when $a, b$ are real functions of $t$, in [4, 6] was prove the local well-posedness in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s \geq 1 / 4$. Also, in (4) was study the unique continuation property for the solution of (1.1).

Remark 1.1. 1) Using (1.4) and the results obtained in 11 we have that the PVI (1.1) is globally well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ with $s>1 / 4$, for initial data of the form:

$$
\exp \left\{-i \frac{a}{3 b} x\right\} v_{0}(x), \quad \exp \left\{-i \frac{a}{3 b} x\right\}\left(v_{0}(x)+i v_{0}(x)\right)
$$

where $v_{0} \in H^{s}, s>1 / 4, v_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore it suggests us to improve the result and obtain the global existence for the general case in $H^{s}(\mathbb{R}), s>1 / 4$.
2) If $e=0, b d>0$ and $c=(a / 3 b) d$ in (1.1), then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u+i a \partial_{x}^{2} u+b \partial_{x}^{3} u+i \frac{a}{3 b} d|u|^{2} u+d|u|^{2} \partial_{x} u=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

have the following solution with two parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\eta, N}(x, t)=f_{\eta}(x+\psi(\eta, N) t) \exp i\{N x+\phi(\eta, N) t\} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\eta}(x)=\eta f(\eta x), f(x)=(A \cosh x)^{-1}, A=\sqrt{d /(6 b)}, \psi(\eta, N)=2 a N+$ $3 b N^{2}-\eta^{2} b$ and $\phi(\eta, N)=a N^{2}+b N^{3}-3 \eta^{2} b N-a \eta^{2}$.

Using the transformation (1.4) we can to obtain other family of solutions for (1.12). In fact, let $w$ solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} w+\partial_{x}^{3} w+|w|^{2} \partial_{x} w=0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.14}\\
w(x, 0)=w_{0}(x)=f_{1}(x) \exp i\{N x\}=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \cosh x\right)^{-1} \exp i\{N x\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

given by (1.13). If $w$ is a solution of (1.14), then

$$
v(x, t)=\frac{1}{\alpha} w\left(b^{-1 / 3} x, t\right), \quad \alpha=\sqrt{\frac{d}{b^{1 / 3}}}
$$

is a solution of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial_{t} v+b \partial_{x}^{3} v+d|v|^{2} \partial_{x} v=0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{1.15}\\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with initial data $v_{0}(x)=(1 / \alpha) w\left(b^{-1 / 3} x, 0\right)$ and if $v$ is a solution of (1.15) then, using the transformation (1.4)

$$
u(x, t)=v\left(x-\frac{a^{2}}{3 b} t, t\right) \exp i\left(\frac{2 a^{3}}{27 b^{2}} t-\frac{a}{3 b} x\right)
$$

is a solution of (1.12) with initial data $u_{0}(x)=v(x, 0) \exp \{-i(a / 3 b) x\}$, therefore other solution of (1.12) with two parameters is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\eta, N}(x, t)=g_{\eta}\left(b^{-1 / 3} x+\psi(\eta, N) t\right) \exp \left\{i x\left(b^{-1 / 3} N-\frac{a}{3 b}\right)+i t \phi(\eta, N)\right\} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(x)=(\tilde{\alpha} \cosh x)^{-1}, \tilde{\alpha}=\alpha / \sqrt{6}, \phi(\eta, N)=2 a^{3} /\left(27 b^{2}\right)-3 N \eta^{2}+N^{3}-$ $N a^{2} b^{-1 / 3} /(3 b), \psi(\eta, N)=-a^{2} b^{-1 / 3} /(3 b)-\eta^{2}+3 N^{2}$ and

$$
u_{\eta, N}(x, 0)=u_{0 \eta, N}(x)=g_{\eta}\left(b^{-1 / 3} x\right) \exp \left\{i x\left(b^{-1 / 3} N-\frac{a}{3 b}\right)\right\}
$$

When $a=0$ and $b=d=1$ in (1.12), this solution coincide with the solution obtained in 20].
3) If $e \neq 0$ and $b(d+e)>0$, then (1.1) have solutions with one parameter:

$$
u_{\eta}(x, t)=g_{\eta}(x+\psi(\eta, w) t) \exp i\{w x+\phi(\eta, w) t\}
$$

where $w=\left(c-2 a A^{2}\right) /(2 e), g_{\eta}(x)=\eta g(\eta x), g(x)=(A \cosh x)^{-1}, A=\sqrt{(e+d) /(6 b)}$, $\psi$ and $\phi$ as in (1.13).

We have also that if $u$ is a solution of (1.1) then, $v=\alpha u$ is a solution of (1.1), where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C},|\alpha|=1$, and if $d \neq e$ in (1.1) then $\left.u(x, t)=\exp i\left\{C x+D t+C_{0}\right)\right\}$ is a solution of (1.1), where $D=a C^{2}+b C^{3} e C=c /(e-d)$.

Recently there appeared several papers devoted to the global solution of the dispersive type equation, where the framework is based on almost conserved laws and the I-method, see [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. In this paper we adopt this way in order to obtain our results.

Our aim in this paper is to extend the local solution to a global one. Now, we state our main theorem of global existence:

Theorem 1.2. The IVP (1.1), with $c=(d-e) a / 3 b$, is global well-posedness in $H^{s}, s>1 / 4$.

Notation. The notation to be used is mostly standard. We will use the spacetime Lebesgue $L_{x}^{p} L_{T}^{q}$ endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{L_{x}^{p} L_{T}^{q}}=\| \| f\left\|_{L_{T}^{q}}\right\|_{L_{x}^{p}}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|f(x, t)|^{q} d t\right)^{p / q} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

We will use the notation $\|f\|_{L_{x}^{p} L_{t}^{q}}$ when the integration in the time variable is on the whole real line. In order to define the $X_{s, \beta}$ spaces we consider the following IVP

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}+i a u_{x x}+b u_{x x x}=0, \quad x, t \in \mathbb{R}, b \neq 0 \\
u(0)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

whose solution is given by $u(x, t)=U(t) u_{0}(x)$, where the unitary group $U(t)$ is defined as

$$
\widehat{U(t) u_{0}}(\xi)=e^{i t\left(b \xi^{3}+a \xi^{2}\right)} \widehat{u_{0}}(\xi)
$$

For $s, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, X_{s, \beta}$ denotes the completion of the Schwartz space $S\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ with respect to the norm

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{s, \beta} \equiv\|u\|_{X_{s, \beta}} \equiv\|U(-t) u\|_{H_{s, \beta}} & \equiv\left\|\langle\tau\rangle^{\beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \widehat{U(-t)} u(\xi, \tau)\right\|_{L_{\tau}^{2} L_{\xi}^{2}} \\
& =\left\|\left\langle\tau-\left(b \xi^{3}+a \xi^{2}\right)\right\rangle^{\beta}\langle\xi\rangle^{s} \widehat{u}(\xi, \tau)\right\|_{L_{\tau}^{2} L_{\xi}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\widehat{u}(\xi, \tau) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} e^{-i(x \xi+t \tau)} u(x, t) d x d t
$$

For any time interval $[0, \rho]$, we define the space $X_{s, b}^{\rho}$ by the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X_{s, b}^{\rho}}=\inf \left\{\|U\|_{X_{s, b}}:\left.U\right|_{[0, \rho] \times \mathbb{R}}=u\right\}
$$

The notation $A \lesssim B$ means there exist a constant $C$ such that $A \leq C B$, and $A \sim B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. The notations $\xi_{i j}$ means $\xi_{i}+\xi_{j}, \xi_{i j k}$ means $\xi_{i}+\xi_{j}+\xi_{k}$, etc. Also we use the notation $m\left(\xi_{i}\right):=m_{i}, m\left(\xi_{i j}\right):=m_{i j}$, etc.

The notations for multilinear expressions is the same as in [9, 10, we define a spatial n-multiplier to be any function $M_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ on the hyperplane

$$
\Gamma_{n}:=\left\{\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} ; \xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}=0\right\}
$$

which we endow with the dirac measure $\delta\left(\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}\right)$. We define the n -linear functional as

$$
\Lambda\left(M_{n} ; f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right):=\int_{\Gamma_{n}} M_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \prod_{1}^{n} \widehat{f}_{j}\left(\xi_{j}\right)
$$

where $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ are complex functions on $\mathbb{R}$. We shall denote

$$
\Lambda\left(M_{n} ; f\right):=\Lambda\left(M_{n} ; f, \bar{f}, f, \bar{f} \ldots, f, \bar{f}\right)
$$

For $1 \leq j \leq n, k \geq 1$ we define the elongation $\mathbf{X}_{j}^{k}\left(M_{n}\right)$ of $M_{n}$ to be the multiplier of order $n+k$ given by

$$
\mathbf{X}_{j}^{k}\left(M_{n}\right)\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k}\right):=M_{n}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{j-1}, \xi_{j}, \ldots, \xi_{j+k}, \xi_{j+k+1}, \ldots, \xi_{n+k}\right)
$$

## 2. Almost Conservations Laws

From (1.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{t} w+i a \partial_{x}^{2} w+b \partial_{x}^{3} w+i c w \bar{w} w+d\left(\partial_{x} w\right) \bar{w} w+e w\left(\partial_{x} \bar{w}\right) w=0 \\
& \partial_{t} \bar{w}-i a \partial_{x}^{2} \bar{w}+b \partial_{x}^{3} \bar{w}-i c \bar{w} w \bar{w}+d\left(\partial_{x} \bar{w}\right) w \bar{w}+e \bar{w}\left(\partial_{x} w\right) \bar{w}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking Fourier transformation in the above equalities we obtain the following result

Proposition 2.1. Let $n \geq 2$, be an even integer, and let $M_{n}$ be a multiplier of order $n$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} \Lambda_{n}\left(M_{n} ; w\right)= & i \Lambda_{n}\left(M_{n} \Upsilon_{n}^{a, b} ; w\right)-i \Lambda_{n+2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Upsilon_{j, n+2}^{c, e} \boldsymbol{X}_{j}^{2}\left(M_{n}\right) ; w\right) \\
& -i d \Lambda_{n+2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} \boldsymbol{X}_{2 j-1}^{2}\left(M_{n}\right) \xi_{2 j-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n / 2} \boldsymbol{X}_{2 j}^{2}\left(M_{n}\right) \xi_{2 j+2} ; w\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Upsilon_{n}^{a, b}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left((-1)^{j-1} a \xi_{j}^{2}+b \xi_{j}^{3}\right)$ and $\Upsilon_{j, n+2}^{c, e}=(-1)^{j-1} c+e \xi_{j+1}$.
We define the first modified energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}=k_{1} \Lambda_{2}\left(M_{2} ; w\right), \quad M_{2}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}\right)=\xi_{1} \xi_{2} m\left(\xi_{1}\right) m\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=3 b e$, and the second modified energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}=E_{1}+\Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 4 -multiplier $\delta_{4}$ will be choosed after. By (2.1) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} E_{2}= & \partial_{t} E_{1}+\partial_{t} \Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4}\right)=k_{1} \Lambda_{2}\left(M_{2} \Upsilon_{2}^{a, b}\right)-i k_{1} \Lambda_{4}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} \Upsilon_{j, 4}^{c, e} \mathbf{X}_{j}^{2}\left(M_{2}\right)\right) \\
& -i d k_{1} \Lambda_{4}\left(\mathbf{X}_{1}^{2}\left(M_{2}\right) \xi_{1}+\mathbf{X}_{2}^{2}\left(M_{2}\right) \xi_{4}\right)+i \Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4} \Upsilon_{4}^{a, b}\right)-i \Lambda_{6}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{4} \Upsilon_{j, 6}^{c, e} \mathbf{X}_{j}^{2}\left(\delta_{4}\right)\right) \\
& -i d \Lambda_{6}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathbf{X}_{2 j-1}^{2}\left(\delta_{4}\right) \xi_{2 j-1}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathbf{X}_{2 j}^{2}\left(\delta_{4}\right) \xi_{2 j+2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

it is clear that $\Lambda_{2}\left(M_{2} \Upsilon_{2}^{a, b}\right)=0$. Now if $\tilde{M}_{n}$ is an n- multiplier $\Lambda_{n}\left(\tilde{M}_{n}\right)$ is invariant under permutations of the even $\xi_{j}$ indices or of the odd $\xi_{j}$ indices, therefore for
achieve a cancellation of the 4 -linear expression, we choose $\delta_{4}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon_{4}^{a, b} \delta_{4}= & \frac{c k_{1}}{2}\left(\xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}-\xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}\right)-\frac{e k_{1}}{2}\left(\xi_{2} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{d k_{1}}{2}\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

consequently from (2.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} E_{2}=\Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{6}= & \frac{-i e}{36} \sum_{\substack{\{k, m, o\}=\{1,3,5\} \\
\{l, n, p\}=\{2,4,6\}}}\left[\xi_{l} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k l m}, \xi_{n}, \xi_{o}, \xi_{p}\right)+\xi_{m} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{l m n}, \xi_{o}, \xi_{p}\right)+\xi_{n} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{l}, \xi_{m n o}, \xi_{p}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\xi_{o} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{l}, \xi_{m}, \xi_{n o p}\right)\right]-\frac{i d}{36} \sum_{\substack{\{k, m, o\}=\{1,3,5\} \\
\{l, n, p\}=\{2,4,6\}}}\left[\xi_{k} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k l m}, \xi_{n}, \xi_{o}, \xi_{p}\right)+\xi_{m} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{l}, \xi_{m n o}, \xi_{p}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\xi_{n} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{l m n}, \xi_{o}, \xi_{p}\right)+\xi_{p} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{k}, \xi_{l}, \xi_{m}, \xi_{n o p}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 2.2. If $m(\xi)=1$ for all $\xi$, then

$$
\partial_{t} E_{2}=0
$$

Proof. From definition of $E_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}=3 b e \Lambda_{2}\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2} ; w\right)+\Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4} ; w\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_{4}^{a, b} \delta_{4}= & \frac{c k_{1}}{2}\left(\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{3}^{2}-\xi_{4}^{2}\right)-\frac{e k_{1}}{2}\left(\xi_{2} \xi_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{d k_{1}}{2}\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{4}=0$, then $\xi_{1}^{2}-\xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{3}^{2}-\xi_{4}^{2}=2 \xi_{12} \xi_{14}$ and $\xi_{1}^{3}+\cdots+\xi_{4}^{3}=3 \xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{4}^{a, b}=2 a \xi_{12} \xi_{14}+3 b \xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}=\xi_{12} \xi_{14}\left(2 a+3 b \xi_{13}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand $\xi_{2} \xi_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{2}=-\xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}$ (see Lemma 3.5 in 9] and Remark 3.6 in 10] , similarly $\xi_{1} \xi_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{2}^{2}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}^{2}=-\xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{4} & =\frac{3 b e}{2} \frac{2 c \xi_{12} \xi_{14}+(d+e) \xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}}{\xi_{12} \xi_{14}\left(2 a+3 b \xi_{13}\right)} \\
& =\frac{e(d+e)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

And from (2.7) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{2} & =3 b e \Lambda_{2}\left(\xi_{1} \xi_{2} ; w\right)+\frac{e(d+e)}{2} \Lambda_{4}(1 ; w) \\
& =-3 b e \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|w_{x}\right|^{2}+\frac{e(d+e)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|w|^{4} \\
& =-I_{2}(w)
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof of the proposition.

In the following sections we will consider $a=c=0$ in the IVP (1.1) (see (1.4) and (1.5).

## 3. Preliminary Results

For the estimates on the multipliers we use the following elementary results.

Lemma 3.1. 1) (Double mean value theorem DMVT)
Let $f \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\max \{|\eta|,|\lambda|\} \ll \xi$, then

$$
|f(\xi+\eta+\lambda)-f(\xi+\eta)-f(\xi+\lambda)+f(\xi)| \lesssim\left|f^{\prime \prime}(\theta)\right||\eta||\lambda|
$$

where $|\theta| \sim|\xi|$.
2) (Triple mean value theorem TMVT)

Let $f \in C^{3}(\mathbb{R})$, and $\max \{|\eta|,|\lambda|,|\gamma|\} \ll \xi$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid f(\xi+\eta+\lambda & +\gamma)-f(\xi+\lambda+\eta)-f(\xi+\eta+\gamma)-f(\xi+\lambda+\gamma)+f(\xi+\eta) \\
& +f(\xi+\lambda)+f(\xi+\gamma)-f(\xi)|\lesssim| f^{\prime \prime \prime}(\theta)| | \eta| | \lambda \| \gamma \mid
\end{aligned}
$$

where $|\theta| \sim|\xi|$.

And for the proof of Proposition 5.2 shall be fundamental the improved Strichartz estimate.

Lemma 3.2. Let $s>1 / 4, v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$ such that supp $\widehat{v_{1}} \subset\{|\xi| \sim N\}$ and supp $\widehat{v_{2}} \subset\{|\xi| \ll N\}$, then

$$
\left\|v_{1} v_{2}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{t}^{2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-4 s)^{1 / 4}} \frac{1}{N}\left\|v_{2}\right\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}^{\rho}}\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{X_{0,1 / 2+}^{\rho}}
$$

Proof. As in [9] is sufficient to prove

$$
\left\|v_{1} v_{2}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{t}^{2}} \lesssim \frac{1}{(1-4 s)^{1 / 4}} \frac{1}{N}\|\phi\|_{H^{s}}\|\psi\|_{L^{2}}
$$

where $v_{1}=U(t) \psi$ and $v_{2}=U(t) \phi$. By duality, definition of $v_{1}, v_{2}$, Fubini theorem and Plancherel identity in the spatial variable we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v_{1} v_{2}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{t}^{2}} & \lesssim \sup _{\|F\|_{L_{x}^{4 / 3} L_{t}^{2}} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left|\widehat{\phi}(y) \widehat{\psi}(z) \widehat{F}\left(z+y, z^{3}+y^{3}\right)\right| d z d y \\
& =\frac{1}{N^{2}} \sup _{\|F\|_{L_{x}^{4 / 3} L_{t}^{2} \leq 1} \leq} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\widehat{\phi}(y(s, r)) \widehat{\psi}(z(s, r)) \widehat{F}(s, r)| d r d s
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used the change of variable $z+y=s, z^{3}+y^{3}=r$, which has Jacobian of size $N^{2}$. Now if we applying Hölder inequality and a change of variables back for $z$ and $y$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v_{1} v_{2}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{t}^{2}} & \lesssim \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sup _{\|F\|_{L_{x}^{4 / 3} L_{t}^{2}}^{4 /}}\|\widehat{\phi}(y(s, r)) \widehat{\psi}(z(s, r))\|_{L_{s}^{4 / 3} L_{r}^{2}}\|\widehat{F}\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{t}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{N} \sup _{\|F\|_{L_{x}^{4} / 3} L_{t}^{2}} \leq 1
\end{aligned}\|\psi\|_{L_{z}^{2}}\|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L_{y}^{4 / 3}}\|\widehat{F}\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{t}^{2}},
$$

where the Fourier transform of $F$ is taking only in the space variable. Using Hölder inequality we obtain for $s>1 / 4$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}}|\widehat{\phi}|^{4 / 3} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\langle\xi^{2}\right\rangle^{s}|\widehat{\phi}|^{2}\right)^{2 / 3}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{\left\langle\xi^{2}\right\rangle^{2 s}}\right)^{1 / 3}
$$

therefore

$$
\|\widehat{\phi}\|_{L_{y}^{4 / 3}} \leq \frac{1}{(1-4 s)^{1 / 4}}\|\phi\|_{H^{s}}
$$

and by Hausdorff-Young inequality and Minkowsky integral inequality, we get

$$
\|\widehat{F}\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{t}^{2}} \leq\|\widehat{F}\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{4}} \leq\|F\|_{L_{t}^{2} L_{x}^{4 / 3}} \leq\|F\|_{L_{x}^{4 / 3} L_{t}^{2}} \leq 1
$$

This completes the proof.
We define the Fourier multiplier operator I with symbol

$$
m(\xi)= \begin{cases}1, & |\xi|<N  \tag{3.1}\\ \frac{N^{1-s}}{|\xi|^{1-s},} & |\xi|>2 N\end{cases}
$$

We have $I: H^{s} \mapsto H^{1}$. For the local result we define the Fourier multiplier operator L, with symbol

$$
l(\xi)=m(\xi)\langle\xi\rangle^{1-s}= \begin{cases}\langle\xi\rangle^{1-s}, & |\xi|<N \\ \langle\xi\rangle^{1-s} \frac{N^{1-s}}{|\xi|^{1-s}}, & |\xi|>2 N\end{cases}
$$

Is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|I u\|_{H^{1}}=\|L u\|_{H^{s}}, \quad\|I u\|_{X_{1, b}}=\|L u\|_{X_{s, b}}, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $s \in[0,1)$ is $1 \leq l(\xi) \lesssim N^{1-s}$, therefore

$$
\|u\|_{s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} \lesssim\|I u\|_{s^{\prime}-s+1, b^{\prime}} \lesssim N^{1-s}\|u\|_{s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}, \quad s \in[0,1)
$$

observe that if $\left.V\right|_{[0, \rho] \times \mathbb{R}}=I u, V \in X_{s^{\prime}-s+1, b^{\prime}}$, then $U$ defined by $\widehat{U}=(1 / m) \widehat{V}$, satisfies

$$
\|U\|_{s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}} \lesssim\|V\|_{s^{\prime}-s+1, b^{\prime}}
$$

moreover in $[0, \rho]$ is $\left.U\right|_{[0, \rho] \times \mathbb{R}}=u$, therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{X_{s^{\prime}, b^{\prime}}^{\rho}} \lesssim\|I u\|_{X_{s^{\prime}-s+1, b^{\prime}}^{\rho}} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \lesssim l\left(\xi_{1}\right)+l\left(\xi_{2}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for see this, without lost of generality we can assume $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \geq\left|\xi_{2}\right|$, we consider two cases:
i) If $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq N$, then we have $\left|\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right| \leq 2 N$, this implies

$$
l\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \sim\left\langle\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right\rangle^{1-s} \leq\left\langle\xi_{1}\right\rangle^{1-s}+\left\langle\xi_{2}\right\rangle^{1-s}=l\left(\xi_{1}\right)+l\left(\xi_{2}\right)
$$

ii) If $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \geq N$, then we have $l\left(\xi_{1}\right) \sim N^{1-s}$, thus for all $\xi, l(\xi) \lesssim l\left(\xi_{1}\right)$, in particular $l\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \lesssim l\left(\xi_{1}\right) \leq l\left(\xi_{1}\right)+l\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. Note that (3.4) implies $l\left(\xi_{1}+\xi_{2}\right) \lesssim l\left(\xi_{1}\right) l\left(\xi_{2}\right)$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.2 we will use the following local result.
Theorem 3.3. Let $s \geq 1 / 4$, then the IVP (1.1) is locally well-posed for data $\varphi$, with $I \varphi \in H^{1}$ where the time of existence satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \sim\|I \varphi\|_{H^{1}}^{-\theta} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\theta>0$. Moreover the solution of the IVP (1.1), is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|I u\|_{X_{1,1 / 2+}^{\delta}} \lesssim\left\|I u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The Theorem 3.3] is practically done in [29] (see also 32]), in fact, is sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|L\left(u v \bar{w}_{x}\right)\right\|_{X_{s,-1 / 2+}} & \lesssim\|L u\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}}\|L v\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}}\|L w\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}},  \tag{3.7}\\
\left\|L\left(u \bar{v} w_{x}\right)\right\|_{X_{s,-1 / 2+}} & \lesssim \mid L u\left\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}}\right\| I v\left\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}}\right\| L w \|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}} \\
\|L(u \bar{v} w)\|_{X_{s,-1 / 2+}} & \lesssim\|L u\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}}\|L v\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}}\|L w\|_{X_{s, 1 / 2+}} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

in order to prove the first inequality we make the following decomposition

$$
\begin{gathered}
l(\xi) \widehat{u v \bar{w}_{x}}(\xi, \tau)=l(\xi) \int_{\left|\xi_{1}\right|>2 N} \zeta+l(\xi) \int_{\substack{\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 2 N \\
\left|\xi_{2}\right|>2 N}} \zeta+l(\xi) \int_{\substack{\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 2 N \\
\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 2 N \\
\left|\xi-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right|>2 N}} \zeta \\
+l(\xi) \int_{\substack{\left|\xi_{1}\right| \leq 2 N \\
\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq 2 N \\
\left|\xi-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right| \leq 2 N}} \zeta,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\zeta:=\widehat{u}\left(\xi-\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}, \tau-\tau_{1}-\tau_{2}\right) \widehat{v}\left(\xi_{1}, \tau_{1}\right) \widehat{\bar{w}}_{x}\left(\xi_{2}, \tau_{2}\right)$, thus

$$
\left|l(\xi) \widehat{u v \bar{w}_{x}}(\xi, \tau)\right| \lesssim\left|\widehat{u v_{1} \hat{\bar{w}}_{x}}\right|+\left|\widehat{u v_{2} \widehat{\widehat{v}}_{3}}\right|+\left|\widehat{v_{2} \widehat{\hat{v}_{4}} v_{5}}\right|+\langle\xi\rangle^{1-s}\left|\widehat{v_{2}{\hat{\overline{v_{4}}} x} v_{6}}\right|
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widehat{v_{1}}(\xi, \tau)=\chi_{|\xi|>2 N} \widehat{v}(\xi, \tau) l(\xi), \quad \widehat{v_{2}}(\xi, \tau)=\chi_{|\xi| \leq 2 N} \widehat{v}(\xi, \tau) \\
& \widehat{v_{3}}(\xi, \tau)=\chi_{|\xi|>2 N} \widehat{\bar{w}}_{x}(\xi, \tau) l(\xi), \quad{\widehat{v_{4}}}_{x}(\xi, \tau)=\chi_{|\xi| \leq 2 N} \widehat{\bar{w}}_{x}(\xi, \tau), \\
& \widehat{v_{5}}(\xi, \tau)=\chi_{|\xi|>2 N} \widehat{u}(\xi, \tau) l(\xi), \quad \widehat{v_{6}}(\xi, \tau)=\chi_{|\xi| \leq 2 N} \widehat{u}(\xi, \tau)
\end{aligned}
$$

and applying Proposition 2.7 in [29] (see also Theorem 2.1 in [32]) we obtain (3.7). For the other inequalities we make an analogous decomposition.

We also have a result of local well-posed with the interval of existence as in (3.5), without the use of the theory of the spaces $X_{s, b}$.

In fact, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\| \|_{\Delta T, s}= & \left\|\partial_{x} u\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{\Delta T}^{2}}+\left\|D_{x}^{s} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{\Delta T}^{2}}+\left\|D_{x}^{s-1 / 4} \partial_{x} u\right\|_{L_{x}^{20} L_{\Delta T}^{5 / 2}}+\|u\|_{L_{x}^{5} L_{\Delta T}^{10}} \\
& +\left\|D_{x}^{s} u\right\|_{L_{x}^{5} L_{\Delta T}^{10}}+\|u\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{\Delta T}^{\infty}}+\|u\|_{L_{x}^{8} L_{\Delta T}^{8}}+\left\|D_{x}^{s} u\right\|_{L_{x}^{8} L_{\Delta T}^{8} .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $s \geq 1 / 4$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, b \neq 0, c, d, e \in \mathbb{C}$, then the IVP (1.1) is locally well-posed for data $\varphi$, with $I \varphi \in H^{1}$. Moreover the solution is such that

$$
\left\|\|u\|_{\delta, s} \lesssim\right\| I \varphi \|_{H^{1}}
$$

where $\delta$ satisfies 3.5) with $\theta=4$.

Proof. The theorem follows from the proof in [27] if we prove

$$
\left\|\left\|U(t) u_{0}\right\|\right\|_{\delta, s} \lesssim\left\|I u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1}}=\left\|L u_{0}\right\|_{H^{s}}
$$

we consider the first term in the definition of $\left|\|\cdot \mid\|_{T}\right.$, we will prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x} U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{\delta}^{2}} \lesssim\left\|L u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality (3.9) is equivalent with

$$
\left\|L^{-1} \partial_{x} U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{\delta}^{2}} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

where the Fourier multiplier operator $L^{-1}$ have symbol $1 / l(\xi) \leq 1$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|L^{-1} \partial_{x} U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{\delta}^{2}} \leq\left\|\partial_{x} U(t) L^{-1} u_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{\infty} L_{\delta}^{2}} \leq\left\|L^{-1} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leq\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We proceed similarly with the others terms.

Lemma 3.5. For any $s_{1} \geq 1 / 4, s_{2} \geq 0$ and $b>1 / 2$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|u\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{\delta}^{\infty}} & \lesssim u \|_{X_{s_{1}, b}^{\rho}}  \tag{3.11}\\
\|u\|_{L_{x}^{8} L_{\delta}^{8}} & \lesssim u \|_{X_{s_{2}, b}^{\rho}}  \tag{3.12}\\
\|u\|_{L_{x}^{6} L_{\delta}^{6}} & \|u\|_{X_{s_{2}, b}^{\rho}} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The inequalities (3.11) and (3.12), follows from

$$
\left\|U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{\delta}^{\infty}} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{H^{1 / 4}}, \quad\left\|U(t) u_{0}\right\|_{L_{x}^{8} L_{\delta}^{8}} \lesssim\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}
$$

and from a standard argument, see for example [2, 10, 14].
The inequality (3.13) follows by interpolation between $\|v\|_{L_{x}^{8} L_{\delta}^{8}} \lesssim\|v\|_{X_{0,1 / 2+}}$ and the trivial estimate $\|v\|_{L_{x}^{2} L_{\delta}^{2}} \leq\|v\|_{0,0}$.

Remark 3.6. Actually the inequality (3.8) is valid for all $s>-1 / 4$ (see [5]).

## 4. Estimates For $\delta_{4}$ AND $\delta_{6}$

From here onwards we will consider the notation $\left|\xi_{i}\right|=N_{i}, m\left(N_{i}\right)=m_{i},\left|\xi_{i j}\right|=$ $N_{i j}, m\left(N_{i j}\right)=m_{i j}$, etc. Given ford number $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}, N_{4}$ and $\mathcal{C}=\left\{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{4}\right\}$, we will note $N_{s}=\max \mathcal{C}, N_{a}=\max \mathcal{C} \backslash\left\{N_{s}\right\}, N_{t}=\max \mathcal{C} \backslash\left\{N_{s}, N_{a}\right\}, N_{b}=\min \mathcal{C}$, in this way

$$
N_{s} \geq N_{a} \geq N_{t} \geq N_{b}
$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $m$ defined as in (3.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{4}\right| \lesssim m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\delta_{6}\right| \lesssim N_{s} m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (4.1) we will use the following proposition in similar form like when $m=1$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $m$ defined as in (3.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{2} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}\right| \lesssim m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}\right| \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\xi_{1} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}\right| \lesssim m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}\right| \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without lost of generality we can assume $\left|\xi_{1}\right|=N_{s}$, and by symmetry $\left|\xi_{12}\right| \leq\left|\xi_{14}\right|$. In 10] (Lemma 4.1) they proved that

$$
\left|\xi_{2} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}\right| \lesssim m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{14}\right| N_{s}
$$

therefore we can suppose $\left|\xi_{13}\right| \ll N_{s}$, this implies $\left|\xi_{1}\right| \sim\left|\xi_{3}\right|$. Let $f(\xi)=\xi m(\xi)$, observing that $\xi_{12} \xi_{14}=\xi_{2} \xi_{4}-\xi_{1} \xi_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{2} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}=\xi_{2} \xi_{4}\left(f\left(\xi_{2}\right)+f\left(\xi_{4}\right)\right)+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}\left(f\left(\xi_{1}\right)+f\left(\xi_{3}\right)\right) \\
=\xi_{2} \xi_{4}\left(f\left(\xi_{1}\right)+f\left(\xi_{2}\right)+f\left(\xi_{3}\right)+f\left(\xi_{4}\right)\right)-\xi_{12} \xi_{14}\left(f\left(\xi_{1}\right)+f\left(\xi_{3}\right)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the second term of (4.5) we can use the medium value theorem (MVT) for to obtain

$$
\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{14}\left(f\left(\xi_{1}\right)+f\left(\xi_{3}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{14}\left(f\left(\xi_{1}\right)-f\left(-\xi_{3}\right)\right)\right| \lesssim\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{14} \xi_{13}\right| m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)
$$

where we used that $\left|\xi_{13}\right| \ll N_{s}$, and $\left|f^{\prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right| \sim\left|m^{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|$. Therefore we will only estimate the first term in (4.5).

We consider two cases:

1) $\left|\xi_{14}\right| \gtrsim\left|\xi_{3}\right|$, in this case we consider two sub-cases
a) If $\left|\xi_{12}\right| \ll\left|\xi_{1}\right|$, then using the DMVT (Lemma 3.1) with $\xi=-\xi_{1}, \lambda=\xi_{12}$ and $\eta=\xi_{13}$

$$
\left|\xi_{2} \xi_{4}\left(f\left(\xi_{1}\right)+f\left(\xi_{2}\right)+f\left(\xi_{3}\right)+f\left(\xi_{4}\right)\right)\right| \lesssim\left|\xi_{14}\right| N_{s}\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{13}\right| \frac{m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)}{N_{s}}
$$

where we also used that $\left|\xi_{2}\right| \leq\left|\xi_{1}\right| \sim\left|\xi_{3}\right| \lesssim\left|\xi_{14}\right|$ and $\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right| \lesssim m^{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right) /\left|\xi_{1}\right|$.
b) If $\left|\xi_{12}\right| \gtrsim\left|\xi_{1}\right|$, here we proceed similarly as in 10] (Lemma 4.1). Using the fact that $N_{s} \lesssim\left|\xi_{12}\right| \leq\left|\xi_{14}\right|,\left(m^{2}(\xi) \xi^{2}\right)^{\prime} \sim m^{2}(\xi) \xi, m^{2}(\xi) \xi$ is nondecreasing and the MVT we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\xi_{2} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}+\xi_{1} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}\right|=\mid \xi_{3}\left(m_{1}^{2} \xi_{1}^{2}-m_{1-13}^{2} \xi_{1-13}^{2}\right)+\xi_{2}\left(m_{4}^{2} \xi_{4}^{2}\right. \\
\left.-m_{4+13}^{2} \xi_{4+13}^{2}\right)-\xi_{24}\left(m_{3}^{2} \xi_{3}^{2}-m_{4+13}^{2} \xi_{4+13}^{2}\right)|\lesssim| \xi_{13} \mid N_{s}^{2} m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Hence we obtain (4.3) in this sub-case.
2) $\left|\xi_{14}\right| \ll\left|\xi_{3}\right|$, using the TMVT considering in Lemma 3.1 $\xi=-\xi_{1}, \lambda=\xi_{12}$, $\eta=\xi_{13}$ and $\gamma=\xi_{14}$ we have

$$
\left|\xi_{2} \xi_{4}\left(f\left(\xi_{1}\right)+f\left(\xi_{2}\right)+f\left(\xi_{3}\right)+f\left(\xi_{4}\right)\right)\right| \lesssim N_{s}^{2}\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{13} \xi_{14}\right| \frac{m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)}{N_{s}^{2}}
$$

where we also used that $\left|f^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right| \lesssim m^{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right) /\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}$.
Now, in order to obtain (4.4), using (4.3) we get

$$
\left|\xi_{1} \xi_{4}^{2} m_{4}^{2}+\xi_{4} \xi_{1}^{2} m_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2} \xi_{3}^{2} m_{3}^{2}+\xi_{3} \xi_{2}^{2} m_{2}^{2}\right| \lesssim m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)\left|\xi_{21} \xi_{23} \xi_{24}\right|=m^{2}\left(N_{s}\right)\left|\xi_{12} \xi_{14} \xi_{13}\right|
$$

This completes the proof.

By (2.5), (2.8) and Proposition 4.2 we have (4.1). The estimate (4.2) is obvious.

## 5. Estimates 4-Lineal and 6-Lineal

The following lemma will be used frequently in the estimates 4 -lineal and 6 lineal.

Lemma 5.1. Let $n \geq 2$ a even integer, $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}=0} \widehat{w}_{1} \widehat{\bar{w}}_{2} \ldots \widehat{w}_{n-1} \widehat{\bar{w}}_{n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{1} \bar{w}_{2} \ldots w_{n-1} \bar{w}_{n} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the proof of our global result, we will need the following properties.

Proposition 5.2. Let $w \in \mathbf{S}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R})$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{\rho} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6} ; w(t)\right) d t\right| \lesssim N^{-3}\|I w\|_{X_{1,1 / 2+}}^{6} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4} ; w(t)\right)\right| \lesssim\|I w\|_{H^{1}}^{4} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As in [9, 10, 11, we first perform a Littlewood-Paley decomposition of the six factors $w$, so that the $\xi_{i}$ are essentially the constants $N_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 6$. To recover the sum at the end we borrow a $N_{s}^{-\epsilon}$ from the large denominator $N_{s}$ and often this will not be mentioned. Also without loss of generality we can assume that the Fourier transforms in the left-side of (5.2) and (5.3) are real and nonnegative.

Let $I=\{s, a, t, b\}$ the set of indices such that $N_{s} \geq N_{a} \geq N_{t} \geq N_{b}$. We will proved first (5.2), we divide the proof into two cases.

1) $N_{b} \gtrsim N$, by definition of $m$ we have $N_{t} m_{t} \gtrsim N$ and $N_{b} m_{b} \gtrsim N$, therefore

$$
N_{s} m_{s}^{2} \lesssim N^{-3} N_{s} m_{s} N_{a} m_{a} N_{t} m_{t} N_{b} m_{b}
$$

and consequently by (5.1), Hölder inequality, (3.3) and Lemma 3.5 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{\rho} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6} ; w(t)\right) d t\right| & \lesssim N^{-3} \int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \prod_{j \in I} D_{x} I w_{j} \prod_{j \notin I} w_{j} d x d t \\
& \lesssim N^{-3} \prod_{j \in I}\left\|D_{x} I w_{j}\right\|_{L_{x}^{6} L_{\rho}^{6}} \prod_{j \notin I}\left\|w_{j}\right\|_{L_{x}^{6} L_{\rho}^{6}} \\
& \lesssim N^{-3} \prod_{j \in I}\left\|I w_{j}\right\|_{X_{1,1 / 2+}^{\rho}} \prod_{j \notin I}\left\|I w_{j}\right\|_{X_{1-s, 1 / 2+}^{\rho}} \\
& \lesssim N^{-3}\|I w\|_{X_{1,1 / 2+}^{\rho}}^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2) $N_{b} \ll N$, by (2.6) and Proposition [2.2] if $N_{s} \ll N$, then $\Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6}\right)=0$, therefore we can assume $N_{s} \gtrsim N$, and for $\xi_{1}+\ldots+\xi_{6}=0$ this implies $N_{s} \sim N_{a} \gtrsim N$, hence

$$
N_{s} m_{s}^{2} \lesssim N^{-1} N_{s} m_{s} N_{a} m_{a}
$$

by (5.1), Hölder inequality, (3.3) and Lemmas 3.5 and 3.2 one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{0}^{\rho} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6} ; w(t)\right) d t\right| & \lesssim N^{-1} \int_{0}^{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}} D_{x} I w_{s} D_{x} I w_{a} \prod_{j \notin\{s, a\}} w_{j} d x d t \\
& \lesssim N^{-1}\left\|\left(D_{x} I w_{s}\right) w_{b}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{\rho}^{2}}\left\|\left(D_{x} I w_{a}\right) w_{p}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{\rho}^{2}}\left\|w_{t}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{\rho}^{\infty}}\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{L_{x}^{4} L_{\rho}^{\infty}} \\
& \lesssim N^{-3}\left\|I w_{s}\right\|_{X_{1,1 / 2+}^{\rho}}\left\|w_{b}\right\|_{X_{s_{0}, 1 / 2+}^{\rho}}\left\|I w_{a}\right\|_{X_{1,1 / 2+}^{\rho}}\left\|w_{p}\right\|_{X_{s_{0}, 1 / 2+}^{\rho}} \\
& \left\|w_{t}\right\|_{X_{1 / 4,1 / 2+}^{\rho}}\left\|w_{q}\right\|_{X_{1 / 4,1 / 2+}^{\rho}} \\
& \lesssim N^{-3}\|I w\|_{X_{1,1 / 2+}^{\rho}}^{6},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $1 / 4<s_{0}<1$.
For to prove (5.3), by (4.1) and (5.1) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4} ; w(t)\right)\right| & \lesssim \int_{\xi_{1}+\cdots+\xi_{n}} \delta_{4}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \widehat{w}_{1} \widehat{\bar{w}}_{2} \widehat{w}_{3} \widehat{\widehat{w}}_{4} \\
& \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}}|w(t)|^{4} d x \lesssim\|w(t)\|_{H^{1 / 4}}^{4} \\
& \lesssim\|I w\|_{H^{1}}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Which finished the proof.

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will use the following results.
Lemma 6.1. If $u$ is a solution of IVP (1.1), then

$$
\|I u(t)\|_{L^{2}} \leq\|I \varphi\|_{H^{1-s}} .
$$

for $0 \leq s<1$.
Proof. The lemma follows from definition of $I$, the conservation law in $L^{2}$ and definition of $l(\xi)$.

Lemma 6.2. If $u$ is a solution of IVP (1.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2}(t)-E_{1}(t)\right| \leq c\|I \varphi\|_{H^{1}}^{4}+c E_{1}(t)^{4} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k$ is a positive integer and $u(t)$ is defined in the time interval $[0, k]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(k)=E_{1}(0)+\Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4}\right)(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{j-1}^{j} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6}\right)(t) d t \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The inequality (6.1) is obvious from (2.3), (5.3) and Lemma 6.1
By (2.6) we have

$$
E_{2}(k)=E_{2}(0)+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \int_{j-1}^{j} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6}\right)(t) d t
$$

and by (2.3) we obtain (6.2).
6.1. Rescaling. We know that if $u(x, t)$ is a solution of (1.5) with initial data $u(x, 0)=\varphi$, then

$$
u_{\lambda}(x, t)=\frac{1}{\lambda} u\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, \frac{t}{\lambda^{3}}\right)
$$

is also a solution of (1.5) with initial data

$$
u_{\lambda}(x, 0)=\frac{1}{\lambda} u\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}, 0\right)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right):=\varphi_{\lambda} .
$$

Let $c_{0} \in(0,1)$ a constant to be chosen later, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|I \varphi_{\lambda}\right\|_{H^{1}} & \sim\left\|\partial_{x} I \varphi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|I \varphi_{\lambda}\right\|_{L^{2}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{N^{1-s}}{\lambda^{1 / 2+s}}\left\|D_{x}^{s} \varphi\right\|_{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}} \\
& <c_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

taking

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \sim N^{\frac{2(1-s)}{1+2 s}}\left(\frac{\left\|D_{x}^{s} \varphi\right\|_{L^{2}}}{c_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2}{1+2 s}} \quad \text { and } \quad N>\left(\frac{\|\varphi\|_{L^{2}}}{c_{0}}\right)^{\frac{2 s}{1-s}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.2. Iteration. Without lost of generality we can assume $k_{1}=1$ in (2.2). We consider our solution rescaled with initial data

$$
\|I \varphi\|_{H^{1}}=\epsilon_{0}<c_{0}<1
$$

then by Theorem 3.3 we have a solution of (1.1) defined in the time interval $[0,1]$. For to extend the solution of local theorem in the time interval $\left[0, \lambda^{3} T\right]$ we need to prove that $\|I u(n)\|_{H^{1}} \lesssim \epsilon_{0}$, for all $n \in\left\{1,2, \ldots, m_{\lambda, T}\right\}=W$, where $m_{\lambda, T} \sim \lambda^{3} T$. Indeed we will prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|I u(n)\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \leq 3 \epsilon_{0}^{2}, \quad n \in W \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

but as $\|I u(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2}=\|I u(t)\|_{L^{2}}+\left\|\partial_{x} I u(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}$, by Lemma 6.1 is sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\partial_{x} I u(n)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq 2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}, \quad n \in W \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove (6.5) by induction.

1) When $k=1$, we suppose by contradiction that $\left\|\partial_{x} I u(1)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}>2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}$, then there exist $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $\left\|\partial_{x} I u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}$, from (6.1) we have

$$
\left|E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}\right| \leq 5 c \epsilon_{0}^{4}
$$

and using (2.3), (2.6), (3.6) and (5.2) we obtain

$$
E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)=E_{1}(0)+\Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4}\right)(0)+\int_{0}^{t_{0}} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6}\right)(0)
$$

and from here

$$
\left|E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-\epsilon_{0}^{2}\right| \leq 5 c \epsilon_{0}^{4}+\frac{1}{N^{3}} 8 c \epsilon_{0}^{6}
$$

hence if $\epsilon_{0}^{2}<\frac{1}{20 c}$, we have

$$
\epsilon_{0}^{2} \leq\left|2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}-E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|+\left|E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-\epsilon_{0}^{2}\right| \leq 5 c \epsilon_{0}^{4}+8 c \epsilon_{0}^{6}+5 c \epsilon_{0}^{4}<\epsilon_{0}^{2}
$$

but this is a contradiction.
2) Now, we suppose (6.5) for $n=1,2 \ldots, k$, with $k \geq 2$ a positive integer, then we also will prove (6.5) for $n=k+1$. In fact, in similar way as in case 1 ), we suppose by contradiction that $\left\|\partial_{x} I u(k+1)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}>2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}$, then there exist $t_{0} \in(0, k+1)$ such that $\left\|\partial_{x} I u\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}=2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}$. Similarly as in the case 1), from (6.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-2 \epsilon_{0}^{2}\right| \leq 5 c \epsilon_{0}^{4} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (2.6) and (6.2) we get

$$
\left|E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-E_{1}(0)\right| \leq\left|\Lambda_{4}\left(\delta_{4}\right)(0)\right|+\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\left[t_{0}\right]} \int_{j-1}^{j} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6}\right)(t) d t\right|+\left|\int_{\left[t_{0}\right]}^{t_{0}} \Lambda_{6}\left(\delta_{6}\right)(t) d t\right|
$$

therefore by (3.6) and (5.2) we easily deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|E_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)-\epsilon_{0}^{2}\right| & \leq 5 c \epsilon_{0}^{4}+\left(1+\left[t_{0}\right]\right) \frac{8 c}{N^{3}} \epsilon_{0}^{6} \\
& \leq 5 c \epsilon_{0}^{4}+\lambda^{3} T \frac{8 c}{N^{3}} \epsilon_{0}^{6} \tag{6.7}
\end{align*}
$$

As in the case $k=1$, by (6.6) and (6.7) we obtain a contradiction if $\lambda^{3} T \sim N^{3}$, consequently we can to iterate this process $m_{\lambda, T} \sim \lambda^{3} T$ times if $T \sim \lambda^{-3} N^{3}$ and by (6.3) if

$$
T \sim N^{(12 s-3) /(1+2 s)}
$$

Hence $u$ is globally well-posed in $H^{s}$ for all $s>1 / 4$.
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